

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/562,682	MARC ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Fannie Kee	<b>Art Unit</b> 3679	

**All Participants:**(1) Fannie Kee.**Status of Application:** Amendment after Non-Final(2) H. James Voeller, #48,015.(3) Aaron Dunwoody.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2010**Time:** 2:35 pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to amend claim 13 as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, Paper No. 20101103, which puts this application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)